Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,221	PATTEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	FRANK W. LU	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: After the decision made by THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES		
(4) ERANK W. LU		
(1) <u>FRANK W. LU</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Sharon Crane (Reg. No. 36,113)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 January 2009	Time: <u>3 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 275		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ms. Crane agreed with the examiner's amendments.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Frank W Lu /		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)